



.....Appellant

Public Information Officer,

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deputy Commissioner,

Jalandhar

AC No. 3465 of 2021

..Vs

.....Respondent

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. The appellant is absent today. He has sent his submissions via mail, the same is taken on record.

3. The respondent has sent their reply.

4. The perusal of the file shows that the respondent has filed their reply pertaining to point nos. 1, 2 and 5. *Copy of the same, as submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.*

5. The appellant may take note that the information pertaining to third party cannot be provided to him. I relied upon the decision dated 13.11.2019 of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 10044 of 2010 titled in Central Public Information Officer, Supreme Court of India Vs. Subhash Chandra Aggarwal, regarding Right to Information Act,



AC No. 3465 of 2021

2005 in which in para no. 59 of the above referred case had defined 'Personal Information' under the Right to Information Act 2005, the relevant part of the judgment is reproduced below:-

"Reading of the aforesaid judicial precedents, in our opinion, would indicate that personal records, including name, address, Physical, mental and psychological status, marks obtained, grades and answer sheets, are all treated as personal information. Similarly, professional records, including qualification, performance, evaluation reports, ACRs, disciplinary proceedings, etc. Are all personal information. Medical records, treatment, choice of medicine, list of hospitals and doctors visited, findings recorded. Including that of the family members, information, relating to assets, liabilities, Income tax returns, details of the investments, lending and borrowing etc. are personal information. Such personal information is entitled to protection from unwarranted invasion of privacy and conditional access is available when stipulation of larger public interest is satisfied. This list is indicative and not exhaustive.

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated : 13.04.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Sh Ajay Nand/Ajay Kumar Mehta, (M-98146-46309) #C-118, East Mohan Nagar, Chamrang Road, Amritsar



.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Assistant Inspector General of Police, (Personnel-2) Punjab Police HQ, Sector 9, Chandigarh **First Appellate Authority**, O/o The Deputy Inspector General of Police, (Administration) Punjab Police HQ, Sector 9, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No. 3397 of 2021

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh, Parshotam Kumar, ASI (9417131510)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with the reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was not present.

2. The appellant is again absent today.

3. The respondent states that they have already sent their reply to the appellant as well as to the commission.

4. The perusal of the file shows that during the last hearing dated 29.11.2021, vide which the respondent stated that the information cannot be provided to the appellant under section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005. Further the appellant sought time to file his rejoinder alongwith relevant judgments in support of his submissions. But till today neither the appellant has sent his rejoinder nor he has bothered to attend the Commission. After going through the case file, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this appeal case pending for an indefinite period. Hence, it is presumed that the



AC No. 3397 of 2021

appellant is satisfied with the reply of the respondent. Accordingly, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 13.04.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Ajay Kumar, (M-81465-48104) S/o late Sh Ram Rakha, House No A-1346, Street No. 1 Radha Swami Colony, Fazilka-152123 Versus

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o DEO (SE) Fazilka

First Appellate Authority O/ O/o DEO (SE) Fazilka

APPEAL CASE NO.3993 OF 2021

.....Respondent

Present: (i) None for the appellant (ii) For the respondent: Sh Subhash Kumar, Sr Asstt. (99149-81305)

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 25.02.2022.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has sent an e-mail stating that he shall

not be able to attend the hearing. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. As directed in the previous hearing, the Respondent has filed their affidavit as under:-

"1. That in Appeal case No. 3993/2021, I furnished all the required information to an applicant Sh. Ajay Kumar, para-wise through registered post.

2. That I supplied that information under section 2 (f) of the RTI Act 2005.

3. That I have not hidden any information as per applicant requirement.

4. That provided information is correct, complete & point wise.

5. That no other information is pending."

Copy of the same is taken on record.

4. The perusal of the file shows that, the Respondent has filed their affidavit today. Since,

the Appellant is not present today hence, a copy of the affidavit is sent to the Appellant along with these orders.



APPEAL CASE NO.3993 OF 2021

2. In view of the above affidavit filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence no cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is disposed off and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab